

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,313	09/24/2001	Gunther Berndl	0050/49860	8414
26474	7590 06/09/2003			
KEIL & WEINKAUF			EXAMINER	
	CTICUT AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20036		YOUNG, MIC	CAH PAUL
•			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	9
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ء غد	Advisory Action	09/937,313	BERNDL ET AL.				
	, identically reason	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Micah-Paul Young	1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
		EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if							
imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in							
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the 							
issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
2 🗆 🐧	NOTE:	iion(a):					
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • ———	anarata timely filed	amendment			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	ne a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)□ request for pplication in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment xplanation of how the new or amended claims wo	• • •		and an			
Tł	ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
C	laim(s) allowed:						
С	Claim(s) objected to:						
	laim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-13</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.⊠ C	ther: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
			Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit: 1615				
Datast and	Trademark Office	····	, at Oint. 1010				

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 10. Other: The claims as presently presented do not iovercome the prior art specifically the Bar-Shaolom reference. The claims appear to be drawn to an intermediate componet of a larger pharmceutical formulation, and do not distinguish from the art. The claims recite that the composition is "fee-flowing", yet it is unclear how the excipient would flow freely in a completed solid pharmaceutical formulation. Also the newly added product-by-process limitatiosn do not impart patentability since the claims are drawn to compositions, and not processes of making said compositions. The end result is the same regardless of how the composition is made. For these reason the claims are not in condition for allowance..

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY DEBYTER 1600